

3639

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.							
		Application Number	09/845,539	09/845,539			
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	April 30, 20	April 30, 2001		
FORM		First Named Inventor	David P. Vellante				
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Art Unit	3639				
			Examiner Name	Fadey S. Jabr			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Attorney Docket Number				
Total Number of	Pages in This Submission	<u> </u>	Altorney bocket Number	ITC 2-001			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Trans	smittal Form		Drawing(s)				Allowance Communication to TC
F6	ee Attached	Licensing-related Papers					eals and Interferences
Extension Express A Information Certified ( Document Incomplet	ifter Final ifidavits/declaration(s) ifidavits	Rema	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on Cirks se to Restriction Requirement	Address		(Appea Proprie Status	Il Communication to TC Il Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) etary Information Letter Enclosure(s) (please Identify
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name Mueller and Smith, LPA							
Signature Dunk							
Printed name	Diane E. Burke	700					
Date	October 24, 2005			Reg. No.	45,725	j	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on							
the date shown below: Signature  Jane Leenery							
Typed or printed	name Jane Keeney	A Alakar	nery.			Date	October 24, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Appln. No. 09/845,539 Response dated October 24, 2005 Reply to Office Action of October 17, 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application of

David P. Vellante, et al.

Serial No.

09/845,539

Filed:

April 30, 2001

For:

Method and System for Assessing and Quantifying the

Business value of an Information Technology (IT)

Application or Set of Applications

TC/AU

3639

Examiner

Fadey S. Jabr

Attorney Docket No.

ITC 2-001

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS MAIL STOP AMENDMENT P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

This is a response to the October 17, 2005 Office Action containing a restriction requirement.

It is noted that the restriction has been called for with respect to two inventions identified as Inventions I and II. Invention I includes claims 1-14 and 29-49, while Invention II includes claims 15-28. Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, Invention I including claims 1-14 and 29-49.

Section 121 of the Patent Statute authorizes a requirement for restriction only when two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application. The two inventions identified above clearly are dependent in that each is related to the other in operation or effect. The Statute requires both independence and distinctness, not either, and provides such requirement in definite terms. The construction adopted by the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure assumes that the Statute authorizes restriction between inventions which are not independent and distinct, but which are either independent or distinct. It is Applicant's position that the plain meaning of the Statute defies such construction. For this reason, Applicant requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn so that the claims in controversy may be prosecuted as a single invention.

Appln. No. 09/845,539 Response dated October 24, 2005 Reply to Office Action of October 17, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Otaly 24, 2005

Diane E. Burke Reg. No. 45,725 MUELLER AND SMITH, L.P.A. Mueller-Smith Building 7700 Rivers Edge Drive

Columbus, Ohio 43235-1355

Tel.: 614-436-0600 Fax: 614-436-0057

email: dburke@muellersmith.com

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on October 24, 2005 with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Jane Keenev